



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. Box 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov
DW Oct-07

CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS PLLC
1420 FIFTH AVENUE
SUITE 2800
SEATTLE WA 98101-2347

COPY MAILED

OCT 17 2007

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Tanumihardja et al. :
Application Number: 09/894278 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filing Date: 06/27/2001 :
Attorney Docket Number: 129359 :

This is a decision on the petition filed on 12 September, 2007, under 37 CFR 1.137(b),¹ to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is GRANTED.

The application became abandoned on 27 August, 2005, for failure to submit a timely response to the non-final Office action mailed on 26 May, 2005, which set a three (3) month shortened statutory period for reply. No extensions of the time for reply in

¹ Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

(1) the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional utility or plant application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continuing examination in compliance with § 1.114. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof. In an application, abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the publication fee.

(2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may required additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

(4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).

accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Notice of Abandonment was mailed on 31 January, 2006. On 10 April, 2006, a petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment was filed. On 12 June, 2006, the petition was dismissed. On 11 August, 2006, a renewed petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment was filed, and on 3 November, 2006, the petition was dismissed.

Petitioner has filed an amendment to the claims as the required reply.

The application is being forwarded to Technology Center Art Unit 2155 for further processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571)272-3231.



Douglas I. Wood
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions